

NMCAC November 16, 2023 Meeting 1:00 PM to 5:00 PM (if needed) via MS Teams:

Microsoft Teams meeting Join on your computer, mobile app or room device <u>Click here to join the meeting</u> Meeting ID: 270 667 185 886 Passcode: 7nE7x8 <u>Download Teams</u> Join on the web

1. Roll Call

2. Upcoming NMCAC Dates

- a. We discussed the following dates for the spring 2024 meetings, we need a vote to confirm these dates.
 - i. February 28, 2024, Submission Deadline: January 31, 2024 this meeting will be **in person**, at a location in Albuquerque yet to be determined. This a Wednesday, the day before the NMHEAR Annual Conference. (The alternative would be February 22 as a Teams meeting.)
 - ii. May 2, 2024, Submission Deadline April 4, 2024 this will be a Teams meeting.
- 3. Advanced Placement Guests: Melissa DeLaurentis, PED, and Suzanne McGurk, College Board
 - a. We had a broad discussion at our last meeting of AP Equivalencies. Today I hope we can vote on the following:
 - i. AP Precalculus
 - 1. Score of 3, credit for MATH 1240, Pre-Calculus
 - 2. Score of 4 or 5, credit for MATH 1250, Trigonometry & Pre-Calculus
 - ii. AP Seminar
 - 1. Score of 3 or better
 - a. We discussed several alternatives, such as COMM 1130, Public Speaking, or ENGL 1120, Composition II, but neither alternative seems ideal.
 - b. How about designating a new HNRS course specifically for AP Seminar, maybe something like HNRS 1980, AP Seminar, and define this as a Flex General Education course? We already have 32 approved HNRS courses in the General Education approved list, and this would ensure college credit, and recognize that the student's choices of topic could encompass a broad range of areas.
 - iii. AP Research
 - 1. Score of 3 or better
 - a. Like with AP Seminar, create a new course, HNRS 2980, AP Research, also defined as a Flex General Education course?
 - iv. AP African American Studies, approved last year as AFST 1110, Introduction to Africana Studies



- b. Other AP issues
 - i. The College Board is preparing a new AP course in Spanish Literature, if/when that is available, we might consider SPAN 2280, Introduction to Hispanic Literature, as the course equivalent.
 - ii. Are there other AP issues that we should address next spring?
- 4. HED Updates
- 5. Request for Topics at the Next Meeting
- 6. Update to GenEd decisions for the last meeting
 - a. I indicated that GEOL 2130L would not be added to the approved list because GEOL 2130 wasn't included. After further discussion with WNMU we clarified that their application had been intended to apply to both the lecture and the lab, so the approved list will be updated to include both GEOL 2130 and GEOL 2130L for WNMU as General Education.

7. 20 new Requests to add to the General Education Curriculum

- a. Due to my being late in getting out the information for reviews, we only had 5 votes from two of the subcommittees, nevertheless, I think we can work through the remaining items fairly quickly.
- b. 6 received more than six votes and received a majority vote from their subcommittee I've included the vote total and all comments from the committee members, we can open discussion of any of these applications as we work through them, if the full committee so decides.
- c. 4 only had five reviewers, but they were all "Yes", we can go over these fairly quickly, but I suggest that you all may want to just approve these since a sixth reviewer is very likely to also have approved.
- d. 4 of the items are on hold due to issues raised by the Common Course Numbering System "Numbering Committee" (applications 1609, 1610, 1611, and 1612) these were still reviewed by their committee, none of these received any "Yes" votes, so we don't have to spend much time on these, but we can provide additional comments back to NMMI should they choose to resubmit these down the road.
- e. That leaves 6 that still need our review, discussion, and vote today:

App ID	Yes/Maybe/No Status	Institution	Prefix	Course Number	Course Suffix	Course Title	Content Area	Review Comments
						Intercultural	-	Maybe – Rubric provided is general. Grades based on student's completion
	4/1/0					Communicatio	Communicat	of tasks rather than student's
1476		NMMI	COMM	2170		n	ion	understanding of content.
	3/1/2						V-	No – Recommend resubmission for fine arts area VI for gen ed. More consistent with other HEI in NM Maybe – No rubric provided, although assignment requirements demonstrate evaluation aligns with SLOs. No – "There are too many institutional
1575		NMMI	FDMA	1110		Film History	Humanities	student learning outcomes that go



Арр	Yes/Maybe/No			Course	Course		Content	
ID	Status	Institution	Prefix	Number	Suffix	Course Title	Area	Review Comments
								beyond the 20% that can be added and still be a part of the CCN system. Critical Thinking: This is a narrative that discusses the purpose of the course, and what students will be exposed to (stories and film). There is no information about how students will develop the skills to delineate a problem, acquire evidence by gathering information/data to address the problem, evaluate the evidence for credibility, and develop a conclusion. Writing a film critique seems to indicate students can say what they like or dislike, but not the critical thinking essential skills as needed in a general education course. Personal and Social Responsibility:Intercultural reasoning – viewing films is superficial as stated in the narrative and does not indicate students have mastered this component skills. This narrative does not show mastery of two component skills in personal and social responsibility. Information and Digital Literacy: Students use a link to view a film and submit to Canvas. This narrative says that students will be investigating credible sources, and assessing their validity but does not describe how they will be doing so or in what context. Further, there is mention that students will be using the LMS and Zoom. This does not equate to mastering the essential skill of Information and Digital Literacy. "
1580	7/0/0 Approved	мсс	ENGL	2610		American Literature I	V- Humanities	
1586	7/0/0 Approved	UNM-Main	ARTS	1143		Introduction to Art & Ecology	VI-Creative & Fine Arts	
1587	5/2/0 Approved	UNM-Main	ARTS	1840		Sculpture 1	VI-Creative & Fine Arts	Maybe – This course will provide a strong foundation in sculpture, but is it too specialized to qualify as a "general education" course? Maybe – In the Critical Thinking narrative, a stronger explanation of Problem Setting would help. In the



App ID	Yes/Maybe/No Status	Institution	Prefix	Course Number	Course Suffix	Course Title	Content Area	Review Comments
	Status	institution	Prelix	Number	Sumix	Course fille	Area	Personal & Social Responsibility
								narrative, intercultural reasoning and
								competence needs to be directly tied
								to the curriculum and not to the
								diversity of the students in the class.
								Though it's not required, a rubric
								would be helpful in clarifying the
								Assessment. Maybe – For the Communication
								component, I didn't see much
								information provided on how students
								would produce arguments, though
								every other element was well
								described.
								Maybe – I am not quite sure how the
								assessment example matches with an essential skill.
								Maybe – It is not yet apparent how
								this course satisfies the
								communication goal related to
								"Production of Arguments." It is not
								clear that the skills these students
	4/2/0					later de stienster		would develop actually lead to
1589	4/3/0 Approved	UNM-Main	ARTS	1510		Introduction to Electronic Art	VI-Creative & Fine Arts	strategies that might apply to critical thinking.
1585	Approved	Onivi-Ivialiti	ANTS	1510			& THE AIG	No – I mostly had a problem with
								Communication skills. I didn't detect
								much explanation of students'
								activities that would enhance those
								skills, beyond photography.
								Maybe – Assignment/Rubric does not align with learning outcomes
								identified.
								Maybe – So, in response to Mark's
								email Yes, I would probably be ok
								with this, though I agree that FDMA
								would be a better prefix. This really is
								more of a project-based digital
								design/production course, and it seems as if students get a lot more
	0/2/3							skills that just doing yearbook. (I
								actually think "Yearbook" as a title
	This is on hold,							kind of undersells the class, but maybe
	the CCNS							they know their audience better)
	Numbering							No – "This is not a course that should
	Committee has asked NMMI to							be considered for a general education course. It is specific to the creation of
	consider a							a yearbook, and is not a course that all
	different Prefix							students will need to take, nor would
	and different							it easily transfer to another college.
	Course Title for						VI-Creative	Communication: The narrative for
1609	these courses.	NMMI	ENGL	1323		Yearbook I	& Fine Arts	communication covers some of the



App ID	Yes/Maybe/No Status	Institution	Prefix	Course Number	Course Suffix	Course Title	Content Area	Review Comments
								component skills in this area, but doesn't show how students will evaluate and produce arguments; this section of the communication narrative aligns more closely with personal and social responsibility as it is more about team work and deadlines. Critical Thinking: The problem setting described in this narrative refers to past problem solving that students had to do with mistakes made or improper time management, not critical thinking problem solving when students state a question/problem that will be solved with evidence, evaluation, and conclusion. This does not support critical thinking that would be supported in a general education course. Personal and Social Responsibility: Intercultural reasoning – this narrative describes different events attended and attempts to define the culture of the event with intercultural reasoning and competence. This is not the component skill described in personal and social responsibility. This narrative does not show mastery of two component skills in personal and social responsibility. Assessment: The assessment is entirely skills based and does not measure any of the general education essential skills. "
1610	0/2/3 This is on hold, the CCNS Numbering Committee has asked NMMI to consider a different Prefix and different Course Title for these courses.	NMMI	ENGL	1333		Yearbook II	VI-Creative & Fine Arts	 No – I had the same problem with this class as with Yearbook I. Communications listed all the skills that would be gained, but not a clear indication of the activities in the class that would enhance those skills. Maybe – Assignment/Rubric does not align with learning outcomes. Maybe – Same as Yearbook 1. Yes, with the right prefix, I would approve this No – "This course is not appropriate for the general education curriculum, and should not be approved. Communication: The narrative for this area is similar to Yearbook I but adds correcting grammatical errors and



Арр	Yes/Maybe/No			Course	Course		Content	
ID	Status	Institution	Prefix	Number	Suffix	Course Title	Area	Review Comments
								designing spreads. Additionally, there is a mentoring component added for Yearbook II that doesn't address any of the component skills of communication. This narrative does not support student mastery of the area of communication. Critical Thinking: The narrative for Yearbook II is nearly identical to Yearbook II is nearly identical to Yearbook I. the difference is the addition of Yearbook I. to the wording instead of Yearbook I. Therefore, the comments made previously are the same. The problem setting described in this narrative refers to past problem solving that students had to do with mistakes made or improper time management, not critical thinking problem solving when students state a question/problem that will be solved with evidence, evaluation, and conclusion. This does not support critical thinking that would be appropriate in a general education course. Personal and Social Responsibility: This narrative is identical to the Yearbook I narrative, with the change of calling the course Yearbook II. Therefore, the comments are the same. Intercultural reasoning – this narrative describes different events attended and attempts to define the culture of the event with intercultural reasoning and competence. This is not the component skill described in personal and social responsibility. This narrative does not show mastery of two component skills in personal and social responsibility. This narrative does not show mastery of two component skills in personal and social responsibility. The assessment is entirely skills based and does not measure any of the general education essential skills. "
1611	0/2/3 This is on hold, the CCNS Numbering Committee has asked NMMI to	NMMI	ENGL	1343		Yearbook III	VI-Creative & Fine Arts	No – See Yearbook I and II. Maybe – Assignment/Rubric do not align with learning outcomes identified. Maybe – I would approve, with the right prefix



Ann	Voc/Maybe/No			Course	Course		Content	
App ID	Yes/Maybe/No Status	Institution	Prefix	Number	Course Suffix	Course Title		Review Comments
	Status consider a different Prefix and different Course Title for these courses.		Prefix	Number	Suffix	Course Title	Area	Review CommentsNo - "This course is not recommended for approval in the general education curriculum.Communication: The narrative for this area is similar to Yearbook I and II but adds the component of overseeing the students from Yearbook I and II, and mention of positions of leadership. The correcting grammatical errors and completing spreads is still included from Yearbook II. Additionally, there is a mentoring component added for Yearbook II. Additionally, there is a mentoring component added for Yearbook II that doesn't address any of the component skills of communication. This narrative does not support student mastery of the area of communication, and instead of being prepared to take Yearbook III, they will be prepared for Yearbook III to the wording



Арр	Yes/Maybe/No			Course	Course		Content	
ID	Status	Institution	Prefix	Number	Suffix	Course Title	Area	Review Comments
								Intercultural reasoning – this narrative describes different events attended and attempts to define the culture of the event with intercultural reasoning and competence. This is not the component skill described in personal and social responsibility. This narrative does not show mastery of two component skills in personal and social responsibility. The assessment is entirely skills based, and the same as Yearbook I and II and does not measure any of the general
	0/2/3 This is on hold, the CCNS Numbering Committee has asked NMMI to consider a different Prefix and different Course Title for						VI-Creative	education essential skills. " No – See Yearbook I and II. Maybe – Assignment/Rubric do not align with learning outcomes identified. Maybe – Ditto No – "This course is not supported for approval into the general education curriculum. Communication: The narrative for this area is similar to Yearbook I, II, and III but adds the caveat that students must have taken all three courses to take Yearbook IV. Yearbook IV students become editors of the yearbook, so may have some experience with genre and medium awareness, but this is not apparent from the narratives. There is an indication that the students are responsible for overseeing and mentoring the other students in the course in a leadership component. The component skills are not described as being met by the activities in this course. Critical Thinking: The narrative for Yearbook I, II, and III. In this narrative, the problems were created by the Yearbook I, II, and III students (simply the addition of another group of students causing the problem) and the Yearbook IV students had to solve a problem. The narratives for these courses are written based on previous experiences in courses, and not the curriculum of the course that is to



Арр	Yes/Maybe/No			Course	Course		Content	
ID	Status	Institution	Prefix	Number	Suffix	Course Title	Area	Review Comments
								The problem setting described in this
								narrative refers to past problem
								solving that students had to do with
								mistakes made or improper time
								management, not critical thinking
								problem solving when students state a
								question/problem that will be solved
								with evidence, evaluation, and
								conclusion. There is some difference
								in that students learned to give credit
								to others who took photos that the
								yearbook used.
								This does not support critical thinking
								that would be appropriate in a general
								education course.
								Personal and Social Responsibility:
								This narrative is identical to the
								Yearbook I, II, and III narrative, with
								the change of calling the course
								Yearbook IV with some mentorship
								added. Therefore, the comments are
								the same.
								Intercultural reasoning – this narrative
								describes different events attended
								and attempts to define the culture of
								the event with intercultural reasoning
								and competence. This is not the
								component skill described in personal
								and social responsibility.
								This narrative does not show mastery
								of two component skills in personal
								and social responsibility
								The assessment is entirely skills based
								and does not measure any of the
								general education essential skills. "
								No – Seems to need more information
								on what students are actually doing to
								practice the skills.
								No – Assessment not attached
								Maybe – Was there an assessment
								attached? I was unable to see one.
								Maybe – I'm not sure this should be a
								Communications course. The
								narratives are well written but in
								Communication it is not clear that
								students are engaged in the
								production of arguments. Also, I could
								not access an assessment.
								No – The syllabus has not been
							1-	submitted, resulting in a lack of access
	0/2/3					Principles of	Communicat	to student learning outcomes and
1614		SIPI	MKTG	2110		Marketing	ion	assessment details.



App ID	Yes/Maybe/No Status	Institution	Prefix	Course Number	Course Suffix	Course Title	Content Area	Review Comments
					Junix			
	5/0/0					Elementary	V-	
1615		SIPI	NAVA	1110		Navajo	Humanities	
	4/1/0						V-	
1616		SIPI	SPAN	1110		Spanish I	Humanities	
1010			017.01			opanion		
	5/0/0						V-	
1617		SIPI	SPAN	1120		Spanish II	Humanities	
							IV-Social &	
	5/0/0					Principles of	Behavioral	
1618		SIPI	MGMT	2110		Management	Science	
							IV-Social &	
	5/0/0					Introduction to	Behavioral	
1619		SIPI	BUSA	1110		Business	Science	
1015	•••		000/1	1110		Business	Jelenee	No – This is a higher level class with a
							1-	pre-req and should likely not be
	3/0/2					Analysis of	Communicat	considered for Gen Ed { <i>note from HED</i> ,
1620		SIPI	ENGL	2510		Literature	ion	this class is GenEd at CNM and SFCC}
						Twentieth	I-	
	4/0/1					Century	Communicat	
1621		SIPI	ENGL	2685		Literature	ion	
						Intro to		No – Elements of this course are
						Agricultural	I-	clearly more of an introduction to the
	5/1/2					Communicatio	Communicat	field, rather than an Area I comm
1624	Approved	ENMU-RO	AXED	1120		ns	ion	requirement .
								Maybe – I am not certain which
						Computer		essential skill the example is accessing.
	5/2/0					Science for All		Yes – Yes, since "this application is to
1650	Approved	NNMC	ENGR	1101	L	Laboratory	III-Science	add the corequisite lab course."